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**BACKGROUND & OBJECTIVE**

It’s hard for 10-years-ago us to imagine how capable mobile devices are in 2021. Nowadays, mobile devices are totally like portable PC or smaller laptop with strong and powerful micro processors. These developments in mobile devices help bring Mobile CrowdSourcing into life.

Mobile CrowdSourcing takes the advantage of sensing ability of mobile devices, gathering surroundings noise, humidity, etc., and helps us know where we live better. The most well-known practice of Mobile CrowdSourcing is a map app[1]. Based on users’ location info, a map app can help design routes which would cost less time or less money for users.

However, the openness of such system also brings in hazards. In the previous case, if some malicious users try to inject fake information, a map app may falsely believe there is a traffic jam happened while there is barely no car on the street[1]. There are some existing technologies help detect false data in the database but it’s inevitable that the database has been corrupted already.

This project aims at designing a hardened registration system which help eliminate those potential malicious devices or emulators at the very beginning and keep the data pool clean and accountable. The main enemy to our system would be those individual attackers with common devices including laptop and mobile devices. Those attackers colluding together is beyond consideration in this project.

**RESEARCH QUESTION & METHOD**

**Questions:**

1. In what cases, malicious users can upload fake, forged or erroneous data?
2. How can we detect potential malicious users and eliminate in the first place?

Breaking down the questions, we are building the hardened registration system in two aspects.

First, in order to send false data to the system, the hardware or software must be exploited. Otherwise, you could never see a well-performing mobile device sending false data. To prevent legitimate users sending erroneous data, the hardware(e.g. sensors) needs to be examined. To prevent malicious users from exploiting the software or evade their hardware erroneous, device root condition needs to be checked[2].

However, this is not easy since the race between root detection and evasion is asymmetric, favoring evaders[3].

For the reason of inevitable exploitation of software, another detection methods need to be introduced, corresponding to aforementioned question two.

In a recently published Sybil hunting method[4], Sybil attacks1 can be detected with the help of honest nodes taking part in the system. The idea of the paper is that Sybil nodes rely on real devices to broadcast WIFI signals. Though this Sybil hunting method seems to have solved the problem, it’s not strict in the assumption that one device can only broadcast on one node’s behalf in a round. Therefore I will introduce a new score system to complement the Sybil hunting method.

This self-designed score system is based on RSSI(Received Signal Strength Indicator), and can perfectly suit the hunting method. Therefore, it would introduce only little calculation burden on the server.

According to [4], the whole process of Sybil detection wouldn’t last more than a minute and require no historic information. Thus, it could be a user friendly as well as a secure and privacy-protected system.

This system so far also has its drawback and insufficiency.

1. If some nodes want to attend the participatory movement instantly, some other additional Sybil detection methods are needed.
2. The detection method requires enough quantity of users. With only few users in some suburb area, the accuracy of detection would be lowered greatly.

To prove the feasibility of such hardened registration system, a simulation model would be built. The model would include individual malicious attacker trying to join the system and the process of elimination.

**EVALUATION & NEW VALUES**

Percentage of detected Sybil nodes would be the major feature used to evaluate the capability of the system. Sybil nodes number should be less than the number of malicious access points in the system(i.e. one laptop and two mobiles are regarded as three access points)

In the project, the root detection method and the hunting Sybil method are both elaborated and more rigorous. Since Sybil attack is always a problem in distributed system, thus the project would be tiny improvement to the solution.

1. Faulty or hostile remote computing elements in a distributed system collude to impair the system[5].

**PRE-STUDY**

In the Participatory Sensing system model [1], users need to register themselves to the Group Manager in order to attend tasks. To filter out potential false or erroneous data source at the very beginning, a hardened registration system is needed.

In [2], a new model for this kind of process is raised. This paper emphasize the importance of root detection in mobile crowdsourcing and gave some basic solutions. However, the root detection process is too easy to be exploited. This part could be elaborated. Additionally, rather than stopping Sybil from entering the system, CAPTCHA can only increase the cost which is not even great.

In [6] and [3], multiple root evasion and 7 detection methods are mentioned. Since this is a competition that favors evaders, root detection would not be the main focus of the project.

**Sybil detection:**

In [7] and [8], distance based authentication methods are adopted. These kinds of methods predominantly come from the domain of VANET. One of the main drawback of such methods is that, millions of trusted units and antennas need to be pre-installed on the streets, making the practice in near term remains unclear.

Using centralized authentication co-operating with government may have solved the problem[9]. However, this may work in one country even if users’ privacy is not concerned, it’s never a sustainable solution world wide. Not to mention the tradeoff between private information and users’ willing to attend the PS tasks .

In [9] and [10], a nodes-relation based graph is used to categorize Sybil malicious nodes and eligible nodes. This is a well solution for distributed system especially social networks. Nonetheless,
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